1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 DENGE LEMO GAHANO, Case No. C20-1094-MJP-MLP Petitioner. 9 ORDER DENYING SECOND v. 10 MOTION FOR STAY OF REMOVAL DANIEL M. RENAUD, et al., 11 Respondents. 12 13 14 Petitioner is proceeding pro se in this 28 U.S.C. § 2241 immigration habeas action. On 15 July 15, 2020, Petitioner filed an emergency motion seeking a stay of removal. (Dkt. # 2.) The 16 Court denied the motion the following day, explaining that Petitioner had not demonstrated he satisfied any of the factors required to obtain a stay. (Dkt. # 5.) Currently before the Court is 17 18 Petitioner's "Replacement Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order For A Stay of 19 Deportation," which he filed on August 6, 2020. (Dkt. #7.) The Government has not had an 20 opportunity to respond. 21 In evaluating whether to issue a stay, the Court considers four factors: "(1) whether the 22 stay applicant has made a strong showing that he is likely to succeed on the merits; (2) whether 23 the applicant will be irreparably injured absent a stay; (3) whether issuance of the stay will

ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION FOR STAY OF REMOVAL - 1

1	substantially injure the other parties interested in the proceeding; and (4) where the public
2	interest lies." Leiva-Perez v. Holder, 640 F.3d 962, 964 (9th Cir. 2011) (per curiam) (quoting
3	Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418, 434 (2009)). This test is also satisfied where a petitioner shows
4	"that irreparable harm is probable and either: (a) a strong likelihood of success on the merits and
5	that the public interest does not weigh heavily against a stay; or (b) a substantial case on the
6	merits and that the balance of hardships tips sharply in the petitioner's favor." <i>Id.</i> at 970.
7	Petitioner's motion does not address any of the <i>Leiva-Perez</i> factors and simply asks for a
8	stay of removal without any analysis. Because Petitioner fails to establish he satisfies all of the
9	Leiva-Perez factors, the Court DENIES his second motion for a stay of removal (Dkt. #7).
10	
11	The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to the parties and the Honorable
12	Michelle L. Peterson.
13	DATED this7th day ofAugust, 2020.
14	
15	Marshy Helens
16	Malshuf. Plellons
17	Marsha J. Pechman United States Senior District Judge
18	
19	
20	Recommended for Entry this 7th of August, 2020.
21	
22	/s/ Michelle L. Peterson MICHELLE L. PETERSON
23	United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION FOR STAY OF REMOVAL - 2